SCRUM MEETING WEEK (5)

**:white_check_mark: Sprint planning checklist**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Preparation** | **Meeting** | **Follow up** |
| ​​  Checkmark with solid fill | ​​  Checkmark with solid fill | ​​  Checkmark with solid fill |

**:busts_in_silhouette: Sprint team members**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Role** |
| ​​ Aaditya Golash | ​​ Project Manager |
| Abdul Faiz | Scrum Master |
| Ariq Muldi | Designer |
| Kenneth Abadi | Developer |
| Raunak Khanna | Developer |
|  |  |

** Sprint planning meeting items**

**Previous sprint summary**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Sprint theme** | ​​Lab 1 work + initial issues |
| **Issues completed** | ​​3 |
| **Issues left** | 0 |
| **Team Capacity** | 5 |
| **Summary** | ​​Made the Use Case Diagram, showing all the features we planned to implement and how the users, moderators, and unregistered users would interact with it.  We made GitHub issues for some of the objectives we were given in the Lab and outside of the Lab in the lecture and cleared those as well. |

**Details Current sprint**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Start date** | ​​February 5, 2024 |
| **End date** | February 11, 2024 |
| **Sprint theme** | ​​Lab 2 work |
| **Team capacity** | 5 |
| **Issues capacity** | 5 |
| **Individual capacity** | Member 1  Member 2  Member 3  Member 4  Member 5 |
| **Potential risks** | The DFD Level 1 we made could have some errors in it the UML as well |
| **Mitigations** | Asked the TA to check most of our work to see if there were any glaring issues in our diagrams and implemented feedback. |

**:books: Sprint planning resources**

* Canvas slides from the lectures and the second lab.